

can lead to serious errors if the measurements I_0, I_{45}, I_{90} are small. We observe that any two triplets, $t_i = (I_0^i, I_{45}^i, I_{90}^i)$ and $t_j = (I_0^j, I_{45}^j, I_{90}^j)$, leading to the same α, P values are proportional, i.e. $t_i = kt_j$. Consider k in the range $[0.005, 1]$, so that when $k = 1$, at least one of the triplets' component is 255. With such choices, k can be interpreted as an *intensity attenuation factor*; the smaller k , the fainter the intensities in the triplet leading to a given polarization state α, P . Figures 3(a) and 3(b) show that the actual error caused by quantisation on the α estimates increases significantly when the intensity is attenuated. The graphs were obtained by plotting E_α (Eq.(15)) with $E_n = 0$. Notice that the predicted angular errors can exceed 45° even in the absence of any other noise. This analysis gives important suggestions on equipment characteristics; for instance, with 8-bit images, the dynamic range guaranteeing maximum absolute errors within 5° is only 10 to 20 (1/0.1 to 1/0.05); 10-bit images could be used to achieve better ranges for practical purposes.

6 Conclusion

Polarization-based vision provides important clues for the interpretation of a scene, but at the cost of more demanding requirements for the vision system. Since all measurements, including those for calibrating optic elements, come ultimately from the imaging system, it is important to have guidelines for correct equipment settings, and to analyse the causes and effects of error sources on the target measurements. Here, we have considered the most common architecture of polarization vision systems, and concentrated on an important parameter estimated in many polarization-based tasks, the orientation of the polarized component of the observed light. Our analysis predicts the maximum error on orientation estimates as a function of the quantised intensity values and video channel noise, thus making it possible to choose adequate experimental parameters, and to discard intensity measurements leading to unacceptably high errors.

References

- [1] T.E. Boult and L.B. Wolff: *Physically-Based Edge Labelling*, Proc. of IEEE Conf. on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, Hawaii, pp. 656-663, 1991.
- [2] M. Born and E. Wolf: *Principles of Optics*, Pergamon Press, 1993.
- [3] J. Clark, E. Trucco and H.-F. Cheung: *Using Light Polarization in Laser Scanning*, Proc. British Machine Vision Conference, Birmingham, 1995, pp. 523-532.
- [4] J. Clark, E. Trucco and H.-F. Cheung: *Improving Laser Triangulation Sensors Using Polarization*, Proc IEEE Int. Conf. on Computer Vision, Cambridge (MA), 1995, pp. 981-986.
- [5] K. Koshikawa *A polarimetric approach to shape understanding of glossy objects*, Proc. of Int. Joint Conf. on Artificial Intelligence, 1979, pp. 493-495.
- [6] R. Lenz and D. Frisch: *Accuracy of Videometry with CCD Sensors*, ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing vol. 45, 1990, pp. 90-110.

- [7] V. Müller: *Polarization-Based Separation of Diffuse and Specular Surface-Reflection*, in G. Sagerer, S. Posch, F. Kummert (eds.): *Pattern Recognition 17*, Berlin, 1995, pp. 202–209.
- [8] J. L. Pezzaniti and R. A. Chipman: *Imaging Polarimeters for Optical Metrology*, SPIE vol. 1317 *Polarimetry: Radar, Infrared, Visible, Ultraviolet and X-Ray*, 1990, pp. 280–294.
- [9] L. B. Wolff: *Using Polarization to Separate Reflection Components*, Proc IEEE Int. Conf. on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, San Diego (CA), 1989, pp. 363–369.
- [10] L.B. Wolff and A.G. Andreou: *Polarization Camera Sensors*, Image and vision Computing vol. 13 no. 6, 1995, pp. 497–510.
- [11] L.B. Wolff: *Polarization Methods in Computer Vision*, PhD thesis, Columbia University, 1990.

Appendix

This Appendix sketches the derivation of Eq.(15). Assuming digitized intensity measurements are I_0, I_{45}, I_{90} , the original measurement errors are E_0, E_{45}, E_{90} respectively. The actual intensity is given by:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} I'_0 &= I_0 + E_0 \\ I'_{45} &= I_{45} + E_{45} \\ I'_{90} &= I_{90} + E_{90} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where E_0, E_{45}, E_{90} can take either positive or negative values. The measurement orientation α and the actual orientation $\alpha + E_\alpha$ are:

$$\tan 2\alpha = \frac{2I_{45} - I_0 - I_{90}}{I_0 - I_{90}} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$\tan 2(\alpha + E_\alpha) = \frac{2(I_{45} + E_{45}) - (I_0 + E_0) - (I_{90} + E_{90})}{(I_0 + E_0) - (I_{90} + E_{90})} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Let

$$A = \tan 2(\alpha + E_\alpha) - \tan 2(\alpha) \quad (\text{A.4})$$

then, expanding $\tan 2(\alpha + E_\alpha)$,

$$\tan 2E_\alpha = \frac{A}{\tan^2 2\alpha + A \tan 2\alpha + 1} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

From Eq.(A.2) to Eq.(A.5), we have:

$$\tan 2E_\alpha = \frac{(I_{90} - I_{45})E_0 - (I_{90} - I_0)E_{45} - (I_0 - I_{45})E_{90}}{(I_0 - I_{45})^2 + (I_{90} - I_{45})^2 + (I_0 - I_{45})E_0 - (I_0 + I_{90} - 2I_{45})E_{45} + (I_{90} - I_{45})E_{90}} \quad (\text{A.6})$$