# BMVC91

# BMVC91

Proceedings of the British Machine Vision Conference, organised for the British Machine Vision Association by the Turing Institute

24-26 September 1991 University of Glasgow

Edited by Peter Mowforth



Springer-Verlag London Berlin Heidelberg New York Paris Tokyo Hong Kong Barcelona Budapest Peter Mowforth, BSc (Hons), PhD Director, The Turing Institute George House 36 North Hanover Street Glasgow G1 2AD, UK

ISBN 3–540–19715–X Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York ISBN 0–387–19715–X Springer-Verlag New York Berlin Heidelberg

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data British Machine Vision Conference (1991: Glasgow) British Machine Vision Conference: proceedings of the annual conference of the British Machine Vision Association, 24-26 September 1991, Glasgow. I. Title II. Mowforth, P.H. 006.3 ISBN 3-540-19715-X

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data British Machine Vision Conference (1991: Glasgow, Scotland) British Machine Vision Conference: proceedings of the annual conference of the British Machine Vision Association, 24–26 September 1991, Glasgow/edited by Peter H. Mowforth p. cm. ISBN 0–387–19715–X. – ISBN 3–540–19715–X 1. Computer vision–Congresses. I. Mowforth, P. (Peter) 1953– II. British Machine Vision Association. III. Title TA1632.B75 1991 91–26755 006.3'7–dc20 CIP

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of research or private study, or criticism or review, as permitted under the Copyright, Design and Patents Act 1988, this publication may only be reproduced, stored or transmitted, in any form or by any means, with the prior permission in writing of the publishers, or in the case of reprographic reproduction in accordance with the terms of licences issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside those terms should be sent to the publishers.

© Springer-Verlag London Limited 1991 Printed in Great Britain

The use of registered names, trademarks etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher makes no representation, express or implied, with regard to the accuracy of the information contained in this book and cannot accept any legal responsibility or liability for any errors or omissions that may be made.

Printed and bound by The Alden Press, Osney Mead, Oxford 34/3830-543210 Printed on acid-free paper

### Foreword

Lewis Carroll once wrote a story about a king who wanted a very accurate map of his kingdom. The king had a pathologically fastidious eye for detail and consequently decided that the map was to be produced at a scale of 1:1. The scribes dutifully set to and, in time, the map was made. The map carried details of every tree, every rock and every blade of grass throughout the entire land. The problem occurred when they tried to use it. First of all, the map was extraordinarily difficult to open out and line up with the countryside. Its sheer bulk meant that it took whole armies to carry it and a great host of bureaucrats and technicians to maintain the information. Such was the detail of the map that as soon as the wind blew strongly, whole sections needed to be redrawn. What was worse was that all the farmers protested because the map completely cut out the light from the sun and all the crops died. Eventually the howls of protest became so strong that the king was forced to take action. He did away with the old paper copy and decided to use the kingdom itself as the map. All lived happily ever after.

There are, at least, two morals to this tale. First, you are almost certainly doomed to failure if you do not get the representation of the problem right. Second, it is important that the representation uses a level of precision appropriate for the tasks required of the system - and no more. Nowhere are these requirements more important to get right than in computer vision. The fact that sophisticated, human-style, machine vision seems to be both highly complex and appears to have a seemingly boundless appetite for computing resources means that we must pay great attention to finding good (i.e. simple) representations in our machines. We must also think hard about the tasks that we want vision to solve and so be guided into selecting appropriate data-types and levels of precision that meet the system requirements. We should also try to avoid any unnecessary duplication of information or the computation of symbols that we later discover to be superfluous to our needs. Were we to follow these guidelines when building sophisticated vision systems we might be led to the following conclusions:

- 1. Do not perform edge detection on the input images. Signal matching problems such as stereo and motion correspondence can be solved without the use of edges and, in any case, you need to keep the gray-level information if you intend to compute shading or colour information. If you only keep the edges you are guilty of throwing away the baby with the bath water whilst if you try to keep the original image and its edge map(s) you are guilty of over representation. When we appreciate that there is no substantive evidence that biological systems perform edge detection, the justification for any such technique looks a bit thin.
- 2. Foveate your representations. When seeking the holy grail of the minimal representation, there is much we can learn from biological systems. Visual data seems to be log-scaled on almost every dimension. Both intensity and eccentricity are thus scaled so producing the representational appearance of being at the centre of a foveal magnification bubble in which most of our sensitivities and resolutions decrease away from the point of fixation. Many researchers produce high resolution results over the entire field of view of the camera and when asked about foveal/pyramidal representations simply say that this could be "bolted on" afterwards if you wanted the process to go faster. However, such an approach may well miss the point. Those researchers who have explored the use of such data structures have realised that many problems that might originally have needed to be algorithmically coded, now simply drop out of the system as a natural property of the representation. Polar tessellations produce rotation and size invariance as well as a "time-to-impact" computation which is a linear pixel count. Further, resolution pyramids naturally facilitate all the "octave based" algorithms as well as introducing a massive saving in computational effort.
- 3. Be qualitative. If you can achieve your task using qualitative representations, do not go through all the added difficulty of scaling the data to make it quantitative. For example, if relative gray-values are output from a real-time stereo system then you do not need to scale them to perform hand-eye control, vergence, motion segmentation or tracking providing the stereo system provides signals within the control loop of the system. Such an observation may suggest that whilst a few trinocular systems quantitatively lumber around some research laboratories, nature seems to suggest that two eyes are quite sufficient.

Returning to Lewis Carroll the king's final solution was to do away with the model altogether. Such Brooksian ideas might go down well in parts of MIT but as soon as any sophisticated problems need to be tackled, the need for models becomes clear. Whilst the

#### Foreword

pioneering ideas of Kenneth Craik<sup>1</sup> are as true today as they were when first conceived, todays pressing problem is now to discover the minimal representation to facilitate the process.

Having been granted the opportunity to offload some accumulated prejudices, some things need to be said about BMVC'91. The familiar joke about stage five of a successful project (i.e. "praise and honours for the non-participants"), hangs guiltily over my head. The real work behind organising the conference and collaboration with Springer-Verlag was carried out by Jon Ritchie, Tanya Oliver, Irene Brebner and David Wilson. As a somewhat bemused bystander to the event I appreciate that they, at least, have done much to make this a useful, representative and well organised summary of British machine vision research for 1991.

Peter Mowforth Director, The Turing Institute 29th July 1991

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Craik, the Cambridge psychologist, was the first to argue strongly that perception is a model in the brain.

## BMVC91

#### **Programme Committee**

Mr W.G.L. Adaway Computer Recognition Systems Ltd Unit 3, Fishponds Close Wokingham, Berkshire

Mr M.B. Brown British Aerospace PLC Sowerby Research Centre (FPC:267) P.O. Box 5, Filton Bristol BS12 7QW

Dr B.F. Buxton GEC Hirst Research Centre East Lane Wembley, Middlesex HA9 7PP

Dr J. Illingworth Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering Vision, Speech and Signal Processing Group University of Surrey Guildford GU2 5XH

Dr J. Kittler Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering University of Surrey Guildford GU2 5XH

Dr J. Knapman Lead Image Researcher, (MP.137) IBM UK Ltd., UK Scientific Centre Athelstan House St Clement Street Winchester, Hampshire SO23 9DR Dr Peter Mowforth The Turing Institute George House 36 North Hanover Street Glasgow G1 2AD

Professor Peter Saraga Philips Research Laboratories Cross Oak Lane Redhill, Surrey RH1 5HA

Mr A.C. Sleigh Director of Science CIS Room 1258 MOD Main Building Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Mr G.D.Sullivan Department of Computer Science School of Engineering and Information Sciences University of Reading, P.O. Box 220 Whiteknights Reading RG6 2AY

Professor C.J. Taylor Department of Medical Biophysics University of Manchester Stopford Building Oxford Road Manchester M13 9PT

#### **Local Organisers**

Peter Mowforth (Conference Chair) Tanya Oliver Irene Brebner Jon Ritchie Jim Richmond David Wilson

The Turing Institute George House 36 North Hanover Street Glasgow G1 2AD

## Contents

Image Motion Analysis Made Simple and Fast, One Component at a Time	
Peter J. Burt	1
Visual Modelling Demetri Terzopoulos	9
Distributed Dynamic Processing for Edge Detection H. Tunley	13
Boundary Detection Using Bayesian Nets N. Bryson and C.J. Taylor	22
Parallel Implementation of Lagrangian Dynamics for Real-time Snakes R.M.Curwen, A. Blake and R. Cipolla	29
Supervised Segmentation Using a Multi-resolution Data Representation Isaac Ng, J. Kittler and J. Illingworth	36
3D Grouping by Viewpoint Consistency Ascent L. Du, G.D. Sullivan and K.D. Baker	45
A Trainable Method of Parametric Shape Description T.F. Cootes, D.H.Cooper, C.J. Taylor and J. Graham	54
Using Projective Invariants for Constant Time Library Indexing in Model Based Vision C.A.Rothwell, A. Zisserman, D.A. Forsyth and J.L. Mundy	62
Invariants of a Pair of Conics Revisited Long Quan, Patrick Gros and Roger Mohr	71
A Modal Approach to Feature-based Correspondence Larry S. Shapiro and J. Michael Brady	78

A Method of Obtaining the Relative Positions of 4 Points from 3 Perspective Projections <i>H. Christopher Longuet-Higgins</i>	86
Properties of Local Geometric Constraints Alistair J. Bray	95
Texture Boundary Detection – A Structural Approach Wen Wen and Richard J. Fryer	104
The Inference of Structure in Images Using Multi-local Quadrature Filters K. Langley and T.J. Atherton	111
Low-level Grouping of Straight Line Segments A. Etemadi, J-P. Schmidt, G. Matas, J. Illingworth and J. Kittler	118
Connective Hough Transform Shiu Yin K. Yuen	127
Ellipse Detection and Matching with Uncertainty Tim Ellis, Ahmed Abbood and Beatrice Brillault	136
Cooperating Motion Processes P.H.S. Torr, T. Wong, D.W. Murray and A. Zisserman	145
Tracking Curved Objects by Perspective Inversion Alistair J. Bray	151
Optimal Surface Fusion Peter R.J. North	160
Recursive Updating of Planar Motion D.W. Murray and D.M. Pickup	169
A Fractal Shape Signature R.I. Taylor and P.H. Lewis	178
Locating Overlapping Flexible Shapes Using Geometrical Constraints David H. Cooper, Christopher J. Taylor, Jim Graham	
and Tim F. Cootes	185
Gaze Control for a Two-Eyed Robot Head Fenglei Du, Michael Brady and David Murray	193
Visual Evidence Accumulation in Radiograph Inspection Horace H.S. Ip	202

A New Aproach to Active Illumination J.P. McDonald, J.P. Siebert and R.J. Fryer	210
A Comparative Analysis of Algorithms for Determining the Peak Position of a Stripe to Sub-pixel Accuracy D.K. Naidu and R.B. Fisher	217
Synthetic Images of Faces – An Approach to Model-Based Face Recognition Andrew C. Aitchison and Ian Craw	226
Finding Image Features Using Deformable Templates and Detailed Prior Statistical Knowledge Alan Bennett and Ian Craw	233
Relational Model Construction and 3D Object Recognition from Single 2D Monochromatic Image S. Zhang, G.D. Sullivan and K.D. Baker	240
Recognising Cortical Sulci and Gyri in MR Images C.I. Attwood, G.D. Sullivan and K.D. Baker	249
Classification of Breast Tissue by Texture Analysis Peter Miller and Sue Astley	258
Model-Based Image Interpretation Using Genetic Algorithms A. Hill and C.J. Taylor	266
Automated Analysis of Retinal Images Piotr Jasiobedzki and Chris J. Taylor	275
Segmentation of MR Images Using Neural Nets D.L. Toulson and J.F. Boyce	284
Detecting and Classifying Intruders in Image Sequences Paul L. Rosin	293
Structure from Constrained Motion Using Point Correspondences T.N. Tan, G.D. Sullivan and K.D. Baker	301
Model-Based Tracking A.D. Worrall, R.F. Marslin, G.D. Sullivan and K.D. Baker	310
Local Method for Curved Edges and Corners R.T. Shann and J.P. Oakley	319
The Kinematics and Eye Movements for a Two-Eyed Robot Head	
Fenglei Du and Michael Brady	323

Colour and Texture Analysis for Automated Sorting of Eviscera Richard J. Gibbons and David J. Williams	
Image Coding Based on Contour Models S. Marshall	331
An Automated Approach to Stereo Matching Seasat Imagery Mia Denos	335
Data Fusion Using an MLP D.M. Booth, N.A. Thacker, J.E.W. Mayhew and M.K. Pidcock	339
Passive Estimation of Range to Objects from Image Sequences T.J. Atherton, D.J. Kerbyson and G.R. Nudd	343
Imaging Polarimetry for Industrial Inspection R.J. Fryer and J. Miller	347
Computing with Uncertainty: Intervals versus Probabilities Mark J.L. Orr, Robert B. Fisher and John Hallam	351
Recognizing Parameterized Objects Using 3D Edges Ian Reid and J. Michael Brady	355
Optic Disk Boundary Detection Simon Lee and J. Michael Brady	359
Computation of Smoothed Local Symmetries on a MIMD Architecture M.W. Wright	363
Parameterising Images for Recognition and Reconstruction Ian Craw and Peter Cameron	367
Kalman Filters in Constrained Model Based Tracking R.F. Marslin, G.D. Sullivan and K.D. Baker	371
A Novel Approach to Motion Segmentation D. Sinclair, Andrew Blake, Paul Beardsley and David Murray	375
An Efficient and Robust Local Boundary Operator Mark J. Robinson, John P. Oakley and Richard T. Shann	379
The Active Stereo Probe: Dynamic Video Feedback J.P. Siebert, C.W. Urquhart, D.F. Wilson, J.P. McDonald, P.H. Mowforth and R.J. Fryer	383
Design of an Anthropomorphic Robot Head K. Undbekken, D.F. Wilson, P.H. Mowforth, S. Solbakken and B. Eiklid	387

#### Contents

A Monocular Ground Plane Estimation System D.A. Castelow and A.J. Rérolle	392
Recognition with Second-Order Topographic Surface Features	
Robert B. Fisher	396
Heuristically Guided Polygon Finding K.C. Wong, J. Kittler and J. Illingworth	400
The Amplification of Textural Differences D.M. Booth, J.E.W. Mayhew and M.K. Pidcock	408
Edge Labelling by Fusion of Intensity and Range Data G. Zhang and A.M. Wallace	412
Author Index	417